

عمادة الدراسات العليا والبحث العلمي

Deanship of Graduate Studies and Scientific Research

Research Grant Evaluation Form

2

Project Title:

Principal Investigator:

College:

Department :

Analyze the proposal against the following criteria using a score from 0-5 :

1) The quality of the proposed research.

	Score (out of 5)
Is the proposed research original?	
Is the research question/hypothesis clearly stated?	
Does the background section properly cover recent progress in the research field?	
Methodology: How appropriate is:	
The quality of study design?	
methods used?	
statistical analysis?	
ethical issues?	
Average score	

2) The impact of the proposed research.

	Score (out of 5)
Is the proposed research important in the context of	

عمادة الدراسات العليا والبحث العلمي

Deanship of Graduate Studies and Scientific Research

discipline, practice, and future planning?	
Is the proposed research beneficial to society?	
Will it enhance partnerships between colleagues?	
Average score	

3) The path to success.

	Score (out of 5)
Is the research plan appropriate?	
Is the infrastructure needed to support the research available?	
Is it likely to achieve the objectives within the specified time schedule?	
Are the costs reasonable?	
Do the investigators' credentials and previous publication reflect their ability to execute the project?	
Average score	

Comments:

Please rate the proposal against the criteria:

Please use the following scale from one to five:

عمادة الدراسات العليا والبحث العلمي

Deanship of Graduate Studies and Scientific Research

- 5.0 – Very impressive against this criterion, virtually flawless
4.0 – High quality proposal against this criterion, no serious concerns
3.0 – Adequate against this criterion, some doubts or concerns
2.0 – Some deficiencies against this criterion, needs improvement
1.0 – Serious flaws against this criterion

Criteria	Score
1. Quality of proposed research	
2. Impact of proposed program	
3. Path to success	
Total Score/5	

Recommendation:

--